

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office. Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,660	. (	08/05/2002	Richard Heller	1372.42	5315
21901	7590	12/30/2003		EXAMINER	
SMITH & I			BRADFORD, RODERICK D		
SUITE 220					PAPER NUMBER
CLEARWATER, FL 33760				3762	

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003

L

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A distribution	A						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	6					
	10/064,660	HELLER ET AL.	(_/1					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Roderick Bradford	3762						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Au	<u>ıgust 2002</u> .							
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This a	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
	4) Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.		÷						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-						

Art Unit: 3762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "substantially simultaneous" in claim 1 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "substantially simultaneous" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Art Unit: 3762

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1-3, 5, 8 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hoffmann WO 99/62592.

Referring to claim 1, Hoffman discloses a method of electro manipulation for effecting substantially simultaneous electroportation and electro migration of molecules into cells by applying to a cellular target a preselected waveform (page 7, lines 22-24).

Referring to claim 2, wherein the preselected electrical waveform comprises at least one curved component (fig. 3d).

Referring to claim 3, wherein the at least one curved component has a duration no greater than five minutes and a maximum amplitude no greater than 10,000 V/cm (page 8, lines 1-22 and page 13, lines 22-27).

Referring to claim 5, wherein at least one curved component decreases in amplitude as a function of time (fig. 3d).

Referring to claim 8, wherein the preselected electrical waveform further comprises a substantially constant amplitude (figs. 3b and 3c).

Referring to claim 20, wherein the preselected electrical waveform comprises a plurality of coincident, substantially rectangular components whereby the latest time that the following rectangular component can begin is substantially simultaneous with the completion of the preceding rectangular component (figs. 3b and 3c).

Referring to claim 21, wherein the plurality of coincident, substantially rectangular components are of differing amplitudes (figs. 3b and 3c).

Art Unit: 3762

Referring to claim 22, wherein the plurality of coincident, substantially rectangular components have durations no greater than five minutes and maximum amplitudes no greater than 10,000 V/cm (page 8, lines 1-22).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 4, 6, 7, 9-19 and 23-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoffmann WO 99/62592.

Referring to claims 4, 6, 7, 9-19 and 23-25, Hoffmann discloses the claimed except for different waveform components as stated in claims 4, 6, 7, 9-19 and 23-25. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one skilled in the art, to modify the system and method of Hoffmann to include different waveform components, since

Art Unit: 3762

applicant has not disclosed that the different waveform components as set forth in claims 4, 6, 7, 9-19 and 23-25 provides any criticality and/or unexpected results and it appears that the invention would perform equally as well with waveform components, such as the waveform components as taught by Hoffmann as a means of varying the power in order for the cells to breakdown.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roderick Bradford whose telephone number is (703) 305-3287. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7 a.m. - 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

R. Bradoed

ANGELA D. SYKES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700